



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,367	12/27/2001	Fabio R. Maino	ANDIP004	8712
22434	7590	10/17/2007		
BEYER WEAVER LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 70250			TESLOVICH, TAMARA	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/034,367

Applicant(s)

MAINO ET AL.

Examiner

Tamara Teslovich

Art Unit

2137

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 26-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 26-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 31, 2007 has been entered.

Claims 1-25 remain withdrawn.

Claims 26, 36, 48, and 50 are amended.

Claims 26-50 are pending and herein considered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 31, 2007 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5,070,528 to Hawe et al. and further in view of US Patent No. 6,973,568 B2 to Hagerman.

As per **claim 26**, Hawe teaches a method for processing frames in a fibre channel network having a first network entity and a second network entity, the method comprising:

receiving a frame at a first network entity from the second network entity in a fibre channel network (col.8 lines 24-51);

identifying a security control indicator in the frame from the second network entity, wherein the security control indicator is used to determine if the frame is encrypted (col.6 lines 36-54);

decrypting the first portion of the frame (col.16 lines 1-14).

Hawe fails to teach determining that a security association identifier associated with the frame corresponds to an entry in a security database and decrypting the first portion of the frame by using algorithm information contained in the entry in the security database. Hawe also fails to provide for authentication of any type.

Hagerman teaches a secure fibre channel communication network utilizing security association identifiers associated with frames which correspond to an entry in a security database (col.3 lines 43-47; col.7 lines 11-34) and decrypting the first portion of the frame by using algorithm information contained in the entry in the security database

(col.7 lines 11-34). Hagerman goes on to teach the use of authentication within his system to provide for additional security (Abstract, col.3 lines 23-42).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include within Hawe the authentication, security database, and decryption utilizing the security database as described in Hagerman to provide increased levels of security and overall scalability.

As per **claim 27**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the entry in the security database was created after a fibre channel network authentication sequence between the first and second network entities (Hagerman col.7 lines 1-10).

As per **claim 28**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the first portion is decrypted using a key contained in the entry in the security database (Hagerman col.3 lines 43-53).

As per **claim 29**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the first portion is encrypted using DES, 3DES or AES (Hagerman col.7 lines 1-10).

As per **claim 30**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches recognizing that a second portion of the frame supports authentication; using algorithm

Art Unit: 2137

information contained in the entry in the security database to authenticate the second portion of the frame (Hagerman col.5 lines 15-41).

As per **claim 31**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the second portion is authenticated using MD5 or SHA1 (Hagerman col.3 lines 34-42; col.7 lines 35-44).

As per **claim 32**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the authentication sequence is a fibre channel login sequence between the first and second network entities (Hagerman col.3 lines 34-47).

As per **claim 33**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the login sequence is a PLOGI or FLOGI sequence (Hagerman col.6 lines 6-13).

As per **claim 34**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the first and second network entities are domain controllers and the authentication sequence is a FC-CT sequence (Hagerman col.1 lines 28-40).

As per **claim 35**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the first and second network entities are domain controllers and the authentication sequence is a SW-TL sequence (Hagerman col.6 lines 6-14).

As per **claim 36**, Hawe teaches a method for transmitting encrypted frames in a fibre channel network having a first network entity and a second network entity, the method comprising: identifying a fibre channel frame having a source corresponding to the first network entity and a destination corresponding to the second network entity (col.8 lines 24-51); providing a security control indicator in the fibre channel frame, wherein the security control indicator is use to determine if the frame is encrypted and authenticated (col.6 lines 36-54); transmitting the fibre channel frame to the second network entity (col.8 lines 24-51).

Hawe fails to teach determining that a security association identifier associated with the frame corresponds to an entry in a security database and encrypting the first portion of the frame by using algorithm information contained in the entry in the security database. Hawe also fails to provide for authentication of any type.

Hagerman teaches a secure fibre channel communication network utilizing security association identifiers associated with frames which correspond to an entry in a security database (col.3 lines 43-47; col.7 lines 11-34) and encrypting the first portion of the frame by using algorithm information contained in the entry in the security database (col.7 lines 11-34). Hagerman goes on to teach the use of authentication within his system to provide for additional security (Abstract, col.3 lines 23-42).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include within Hawe the authentication, security database, and encryption utilizing the security database as described in Hagerman to provide increased levels of security and overall scalability.

As per **claim 37**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the entry in the security database was created after a fibre channel network authentication sequence between the first and second network entities (Hagerman col.7 lines 1-10).

As per **claim 38**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the payload is encapsulated using the Authentication Header protocol or the Encapsulating Security Payload protocol (Hagerman col.7 lines 1-10).

As per **claim 39**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches adding security information to the header of the fibre channel frame (Hagerman col.3 lines 23-33).

As per **claim 40**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein a first portion of the fibre channel frame is encrypted using DES, 3DES, or AES (Hagerman col.7 lines 1-10).

As per **claim 41**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein parameters in the header are normalized prior to encrypting the first portion of the fibre channel frame (Hagerman col.3 lines 48-53).

As per **claim 42**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the payload is padded prior to encrypting the first portion of the fibre channel frame (Hagerman col.5 lines 3-25).

As per **claim 43**, Hagerman teaches computing authentication data using key and algorithm information as well as a second portion of the fibre channel frame (Hagerman col.5 lines 15-25).

As per **claim 44**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein authentication data is computed using MD5 or SHA1 (Hagerman col.3 lines 34-42; col.7 lines 35-44).

As per **claim 45**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the authentication sequence is a fibre channel login sequence between the first and second network entities (Hagerman col.3 lines 34-47).

As per **claim 46**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the login sequence is a PLOGI or FLOGI sequence (Hagerman col.6 lines 6-13).

As per **claim 47**, the combined method of Hawe and Hagerman teaches wherein the first and second network entities are domain controllers and the authentication

Art Unit: 2137

sequence is a FC-CT sequence or an SW-ILS message (Hagerman col.1 lines 28-40; col.6 lines 6-14).

Claim 48 corresponds to an apparatus employing the method described in claim 36 and is rejected accordingly.

Claim 49 corresponds to an apparatus employing the method described in claim 37 and is rejected accordingly.

As per **claim 50**, Howe teaches an apparatus for receiving encrypted frames in a fibre channel network having a first network entity and a second network entity, the apparatus comprising: means for identifying that the frame has been encrypted and authenticated (col.6 lines 36-54); means to decrypt the eventually encrypted frame (col.16 lines 1-14);

Howe fails to teach means to lookup the security parameters in a security database that allows de-encapsulation of the frame and means to verify that the message has been sent by the sender, and that has not been tampered with during its transmission.

Hagerman teaches a secure fibre channel communication network utilizing security association identifiers associated with frames which correspond to an entry in a security database (col.3 lines 43-47; col.7 lines 11-34) and decrypting the first portion of the frame by using algorithm information contained in the entry in the security database

Art Unit: 2137

(col.7 lines 11-34). Hagerman goes on to teach the use of authentication in order to verify that messages have been sent by the sender, and that they have not been tampered with during transmission (Abstract, col.3 lines 23-42).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include within Hawe the authentication, security database, and decryption utilizing the security database as described in Hagerman to provide increased levels of security and overall scalability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamara Teslovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

T. Teslovich

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'T. Teslovich', with a stylized, flowing script.

Cynthia Britt
CYNTHIA BRITT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
10/15/07